## Lake of the Woods, One Watershed One Plan

# Advisory / Policy Committee Meeting February 20, 2019 Discovery and Development Hub, Warroad, MN



#### Attendance:

**Advisory Committee (AC) Members:** Annette Drewes (DNR), Phil Talmage (DNR Fisheries), and Cary Hernandez (MPCA), Todd Miller (At-Large), Jeff Hrubes (BWSR), Chad Severts (BWSR)

**Planning Work Group (PWG) Members:** Mike Hirst (LOW SWCD), Janine Lovold (Roseau SWCD), and Josh Stromlund (LOW County),

**Policy Committee:** Bill Thompson (WRWD), James "Buck" Nordlof (LOW County), Russell Walker (Roseau County), Jan Miller (Roseau SWCD), Nancy Dunnell (LOW SWCD)

Consultant: Jeremiah Jazdzewski (ivideo)

Mike Hirst called the Advisory Committee (AC) Meeting to order at 10:05 pm. Janine Lovold is the note taker. Roundtable introductions were done.

#### Introduction

Agenda was reviewed. The plan is to go through Plan Sections 1 through 5 with more time being spent on 4 & 5. Jazdzewski gave an overview on the 2019 timeline for draft Plan submission to the state update along with Public Comment period, Public Hearing, BWSR North Region Committee date, and final Plan adoption by local governments. Completed work: draft Plan was completed on January 24. Work that still needs to be done: Plan Section 4 & 5 review and comments by AC & PC to finalize these sections; PC approval of Plan sections 4 & 5 and PC approval of draft Plan.

#### Plan Sections 1 - 3

Mike Hirst led the overview and discussion of Plan Section 1 – 3. Comments taken include:

- Main stem of Warroad River is the wrong color in the Surface Water Impairments Map in PS 2
- Mercury impairment
- Add A & B Levels to MG factsheets in PS 3 (pages 3-13 3-42)
- MG-1 Factsheet The entire goal is protective. The restoration wording on page 3-14 is confusing to the reader.
- Metrics Clip Art Text needs to be changed or reformatted to not bleed into reading text in MG factsheets in PS 3 (pages 3-13 – 3-42). Metric boxes are not consistent and are hard to follow from MG to MG.

#### Plan Section 4

Mike Hirst led the discussion of Plan Section 4. Comments taken include:

- Delete WASCOBS from the first Action Description in Table 4-1 (page 4-1)
- Delete paragraph after Table 4-1 (page 4-2) as it repeated in Section 4.1 (page 4-4)
- Add more information about the percentage funding allotted to planning region (size and public/private land)
- Better define the protection/restoration. In planning region priorities.
- Add zonation to current conditions map.
- Ask TSA Mitch about "other" ditches in BCPR
- Add definition of PTMApp practices
- Table titles need to be larger
- Clarify explanation about interpreting charts (goals at lake)
- Provide a better zonation explanation on PS 2 & 4. Include hot spots within planning region profile maps
- · Add the explanation of the flat lining cost-benefit curve for each planning region into the text
- PWG needs to discuss the inclusion of moderate funding amounts into the Tables

- HEI asks BWSR to go back and discuss the moderate funding inclusion as none of the other current 1W1Ps include this funding
- Severts added that the PWG could work on the moderate funding level during the 60-day review to not delay draft Plan submission
- Include the word Summary in the Baseline Funding Level PTMApp Structural and Management Practices Baseline Funding Table on the bottom (page 4-18 for Bostic, other PRs to be included) to fit with the text
- Change the shading of the Baseline Funding Table to not be mistaken for Baseline, Moderate High funding levels as seen elsewhere
- Clarify the money amounts in the Pie Chart so it is known to which pie piece it refers
- Add issues to first paragraph in Planning Region Priorities (page 4-21 for Muskeg Bay, but apply to all)
- Sasquatch picture is not a good fit in Feasible Structural & Management Practices
- Zippel in-channel project page 4-54 bottom table Z-3 needs to be adjusted to the left by a column
- Reword sentence in 4.5.1 & 4.5.2 for clarification (page 4-64)
- Place subsections 4.4 4.5.2 back into the beginning of the section
- Add outlet channel for marina into the WRPR CIP

#### Plan Section 5

Mike Hirst led the discussion of Plan Section 4. Comments taken include:

- Take out first two sentences
- PWG to look over practices that HEI added into Table 5-1
- PWG to discuss cost-share commonality
- Subsection 5.3.1 Needs more discussion. Administration further definition of how the groups are going to work together
- PC to decide on governing structure
- PWG to do more work on Plan Section 5

## Homework:

- PC & AC: All comments due February 26. Use word document or excel table only.
- Send more images to HEI
- LGUs solidify stance on JPC vs JPE

#### **Next Meetings:**

PWG: Meeting on March 5

Policy Committee: Meeting sometime in week of March 18

### Adjournment:

The meeting adjourned at 2:35 pm.